

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/613,361

Applicant(s)

WALKER ET AL.

Examiner

Ella Colbert

Art Unit

3694

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ella Colbert.

(3) Mr. Michael Downs.

(2) Mr. Stephan J. Filipek.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 07 May 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

mail to applicants' representatives.

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 70-79 and 81-91.


Identification of prior art discussed: Taskett (US 5,684,291).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


ELLA COLBERT
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' Representatives, Mr. Stephan Filipek and Mr. Michael Downs discussed how the prior art is differs from the Applicants' invention. Claim amendments were discussed as to how to clarify that the gift certificate is linked to a financial account and an alias is present for the account. Mr. Filipek and Mr. Downs agreed to make amendments clarifying the concerns of the examiner and to fax an official copy of the amendments to the Examiner for search and review.